

# A Decision Support System To Determine The Location Of A New Sales Branch At Star East Shop With The Smart Method

Ahmad Nawawi<sup>1\*</sup>, Suci Ramadhani<sup>2\*</sup>, Anton Sihombing<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student of Informatics Engineering Program, STMIK Kaputama, Binjai

<sup>2</sup>Lecturer of the Informatics Engineering Program, STMIK Kaputama, Binjai

<sup>3</sup>Lecturer of the Informatics Engineering Program, STMIK Kaputama, Binjai

<sup>12</sup>Jalan Veteran No.4A-9A Binjai, North Sumatra

[ahmadnawawi046@gmail.com](mailto:ahmadnawawi046@gmail.com)

## Abstract

In a business world that is always dynamic and full of competition, business people must always think of ways to continue to survive and if possible develop their business scale in order to meet these business needs, there are many ways that can be taken, one of which is by conducting data analysis. . Bintang Timur shop is one of the businesses in the field of iron and building material shops in the city of stabat. Bintang Timur shop was founded in 2013 which continues to grow at this time. Equipped with the desire to meet the needs of users in the field of iron and building, Bintang Timur store continues to grow by adding various products. The profits obtained in this shop are also used to develop the business, one of which is the addition of goods sold. Based on the analysis that has been done, there are several obstacles faced by this eastern star shop. One of them is the process of finding a new sales branch location at the Bintang Timur store. In this study, a Decision Support System (DSS) will be built using the Simple Multiple Attribute Rating Technique (SMART) method which is a multi-criteria decision making technique based on each alternative consisting of of a number of criteria that have a value and each criterion. Based on the calculation results of the SMART method above, Tebasan (A1) is a new branch location at the Bintang Timur Store in Stabat City which is feasible with a value of 0.763.

Keywords: Location\_Branch, Decision Support System, SMART.

## 1. Introduction

In a business world that is always dynamic and full of competition, business people must always think of ways to continue to survive and if possible develop their business scale in order to meet these business needs.

Bintang Timur shop is one of the businesses in the field of iron and building material shops in the city of stabat. Bintang Timur shop was founded in 2013 which continues to grow at this time. Equipped with the desire to meet the needs of users in the field of iron and building, Bintang Timur store continues to grow by adding various products. The profits obtained in this shop are also used to develop the business, one of which is the addition of goods sold.

Based on the analysis that has been done, there are several obstacles faced by this eastern star shop. One of them is the process of finding a new sales branch location at the Bintang Timur store. Besides that, in a location it has its own advantages and disadvantages, so it is difficult for shop owners to determine which locations are appropriate for the information system that the author created can reduce some of the risk of complaints to existing customers.

at the eastern star shop. Such as the achievement of delivery of goods that will be faster to a predetermined destination. With the system, it is expected to increase progress in work because of the conveniences that have been provided.

Of the many available locations, each of them has different criteria and advantages and the criteria for excellence are taken into consideration when determining the location of sales at Bintang Timur stores. According to a research journal conducted by Zainul Hakim Hilmi 2013. Fuat, Novia Nabilah Nurkam Store Location Decision Support System Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process Method (Case Study: at PT Sumber Indah Lestari). Thus, the selection of one of the others can be an alternative solution in supporting the assessment to determine the location of a new sales branch and is also expected to be further developed in the future.

## 2. Research Methodology

### 2.1. Decision Support System

Decision Support System (DSS) or Decision Support System (DSS) is a system that is able to provide problem solving skills and communication skills for problems with semi-structured and unstructured conditions. This system is used to assist decision making in semi-structured and unstructured situations. structured, where no one knows for sure how decisions should be made. DSS aims to provide

information, guide, predict and direct information users to make better decisions.

According to Alter (Kusrini, 2007, p.15), a decision support system is an interactive information system that provides information, modeling and manipulating data. This system is used to assist decision making in semi-structured and unstructured situations, where no one know exactly how decisions should be made.

**2.2. Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique (SMART)**

SMART (Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique) is a multi-criteria decision-making method developed by Edward in 1977. This multi-criteria decision-making technique is based on the theory that each alternative consists of a number of criteria that have values and each criterion has a weight that describes how important it is compared to other criteria. This weighting is used to assess each alternative in order to obtain the best alternative.

The linear utility function model used by SMART is as follows:

$$\text{Maximize} = \sum_{j=1}^k (w_j \cdot u_{ij}) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \quad \dots \dots \dots (2.1)$$

Where :

- a)  $w_j$  is the weighting value of the  $j$ th criterion of  $k$  criteria
- b)  $u_{ij}$  is alternative utility value  $i$  on criterion  $j$
- c) Decision selection is to identify which of the  $n$  alternatives has the largest function value.
- d) The value of this function can also be used to rank alternatives

**3. Research Result**

In designing a decision support system to determine the location of the new sales branch at the Bintang Timur Store, there are three assessments that are used as criteria and the weight values for each of the existing criteria are in the table below:

**Table 1 : Assesment Criteria**

Criteria	Description	Weight( $W_j$ )
C1	Region	30
C2	Distance	50
C3	Supporting Facilities	20
Total Weight ( $\sum W_j$ )		100

The following is an assessment of each of the existing criteria:

- 1. Region criteria assessment

**Table 2 : Region Critieria**

Region Criteria	Keterangan	Nilai
South	Very Good	4
West	Good	3
North	Enough	2
East	Not Enough	1

- 2. Distance Criteria Assesment

**Table 3 : Distance Criteria**

Distance	Description	Score
1- 4 km – dari Toko Bintang Timur	Not Enough	1
5 -6 km – dari Toko Bintang Timur	Eough	2
7-9 km – dari Toko Bintang Timur	Good	3
10-13 km – dari Toko Bintang Timur	Very Good	4

- 3. Assessment Criteria For Supporting Facilities

**Table 4 : Supporting Facilities Criteria**

Means	Description	Score
Security	Very Good	4
Location	Good	3
Pacilities	Enough	2
Lighting	Not Enough	1

- 4. Weight Normalization

The weight value on the predetermined criteria from the highest to the lowest will be normalized by weight normalization by dividing the criterion weight ( $W_j$ ) by the total weight of the weight ( $\sum W_j$ ). Normalization of the weights on the existing criteria as follows:

$$W_1 = \frac{30}{100} = 0,3$$

$$W_2 = \frac{50}{100} = 0,5$$

$$W_3 = \frac{20}{100} = 0,2$$

**Table 5 : Weight Normalization**

Criteria	Descipriton	Weight ( $W_j$ )	Weight Normalization
C1	Distance	30	0,3
C2	Region	50	0,5
C3	Supporting Facilites	20	0,2

## 5. Data for Determining the Location of New Branches at Bintang Timur Stores

**Table 6** : Data for Determining the Location

No	Location	Criteria	
		Region	Distance
1	Jl. Tebasan Ds IB Stabat	Selatan	7km dari Toko
2	Jl. Kruni Dsn. IV Stabat	Barat	10km dari Toko
3	Desa Pantai Gemi. Dsn III Stabat	Utara	1 km dari Toko
4	Jl. Ampera Dsn V. Stabat	Timur	5 km dari Toko
5	Desa Pantai Luas. Stabat	Barat	7 km dari Toko
6	Kampung Nangka. Dsn II Secanggang	Timur	1 km dari Toko
7	Desa Pondok Merpati Secanggang	Utara	Keamanan
8	Desa Gohor Lama Dsn I Stabat	Barat	5 km dari Toko
9	Jl.Suka Mulia Dsn II Secanggang	Selatan	7 km dari Toko
10	Jl.Tanjung Beringin Pasar 4,5. Kec. Tanjung Pura	Timur	1 km dari Toko

## 6. New Branch Location Determination Data at Bintang Timur Store

**Table 7** : New Branch Location Data Based on Criteria

No	Location	Criteria		
		Region	Distance	Supporting Facilities
1	Jl. Tebasan Ds IB Stabat	Sangat Baik	Baik	Sangat Baik
2	Jl. Kruni Dsn. IV Stabat	Baik	Sangat Baik	Cukup
3	Desa Pantai Gemi. Dsn III Stabat	Cukup	Kurang	Kurang
4	Jl. Ampera Dsn V. Stabat	Kurang	Cukup	Sangat Baik
5	Desa Pantai Luas. Stabat	Baik	Baik	Kurang
6	Kampung Nangka. Dsn II Secanggang	Kurang	Kurang	Baik
7	Desa Pondok Merpati Secanggang	Cukup	Baik	Sangat Baik
8	Desa Gohor Lama. Dsn I Stabat	Baik	Cukup	Kurang
9	Jl.Suka Mulia Dsn II Secanggang	Sangat Baik	Baik	Kurang
10	Jl.Tanjung Beringin Pasar 4.5. Kec. Tanjung Pura	Kurang	Kurang	Sangat Baik

## 7. Giving Criteria Value For Each Alternative

The next stage gives value to each alternative, which is as follows:

**Table 8** : Giving Criteria Value For Each Alternative

No	Location	C1	C2	C3
1	Tebasan	4	3	3
2	Kruni	3	4	2
3	Pantai Gemi	2	1	1
4	Ampera	1	2	4
5	Pantai Luas	3	3	1
6	Kampung Nangka	1	1	3
7	Pondok Merpati	2	3	4
8	Gohor Lama	3	2	1
9	Suka Mulia	4	3	1
10	Tanjung Beringin	1	1	4

## 8. Calculating Utility Value

**Table 9** : Utility Value

No	Alternative	Criteria	Utility Vales
1	A1	C1	1
		C2	0,66
		C3	0,66
2	A2	C1	0,66
		C2	0
		C3	0,33
3	A3	C1	0,33
		C2	0
		C3	0
4	A4	C1	0
		C2	0,33
		C3	1
5	A5	C1	0,66
		C2	0,66
		C3	0
6	A6	C1	0
		C2	0

No	Alternative	Criteria	Utility Vales
7	A7	C3	0,66
		C1	0,33
		C2	0,66
		C3	0
8	A8	C1	0,66
		C2	0,33
		C3	0
9	A9	C1	1
		C2	0,66
		C3	0
10	A10	C1	0
		C2	0
		C3	1

## 9. Calculate Final Values

The next step is to calculate the final value with the formula  $u(\alpha_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j \cdot u_i(\alpha_i)$

Alternatif A<sub>1</sub>

$$A_1(C_1) = 1 \times 0,3 = 0,3$$

$$A_1(C_2) = 0,66 \times 0,5 = 0,33$$

$$A_1(C_3) = 0,66 \times 0,2 = 0,132$$

$$A_1(\text{Total}) = 0,3 + 0,33 + 0,132 = 0,763$$

Alternatif A<sub>2</sub>

$$A_2(C_1) = 0,66 \times 0,3 = 0,198$$

$$A_2(C_2) = 0 \times 0,5 = 0$$

$$A_2(C_3) = 0,33 \times 0,2 = 0,066$$

$$A_2(\text{Total}) = 0,198 + 0 + 0,066 = 0,264$$

Alternatif A<sub>3</sub>

$$A_3(C_1) = 0,33 \times 0,3 = 0,099$$

$$A_3(C_2) = 0 \times 0,5 = 0$$

$$A_3(C_3) = 0 \times 0,2 = 0$$

$$A_3(\text{Total}) = 0,099 + 0 + 0 = 0,099$$

Alternatif A<sub>4</sub>

$$A_4(C_1) = 0 \times 0,3 = 0$$

$$A_4(C_2) = 0,33 \times 0,5 = 0,165$$

$$A_4(C_3) = 1 \times 0,2 = 0,2$$

$$A_4(\text{Total}) = 0 + 0,165 + 0,2 = 0,365$$

Alternatif A<sub>5</sub>

$$A_5(C_1) = 0,66 \times 0,3 = 0,198$$

$$A_5(C_2) = 0,66 \times 0,5 = 0,33$$

$$A_5(C_3) = 0 \times 0,2 = 0$$

$$A_5(\text{Total}) = 0,198 + 0,33 + 0 = 0,528$$

Alternatif A<sub>6</sub>

$$A_6(C_1) = 0 \times 0,3 = 0$$

$$A_6(C_2) = 0 \times 0,5 = 0$$

$$A_6(C_3) = 0,66 \times 0,2 = 0,132$$

$$A_6(\text{Total}) = 0 + 0 + 0,132 = 0,132$$

Alternatif A<sub>7</sub>

$$A_7(C_1) = 0,33 \times 0,3 = 0,099$$

$$A_7(C_2) = 0,66 \times 0,5 = 0,33$$

$$A_7(C_3) = 0 \times 0,2 = 0$$

$$A_7(\text{Total}) = 0,099 + 0,33 + 0 = 0,429$$

Alternatif A<sub>8</sub>

$$A_8(C_1) = 0,66 \times 0,3 = 0,198$$

$$A_8(C_2) = 0,33 \times 0,5 = 0,165$$

$$A_8(C_3) = 0 \times 0,2 = 0$$

$$A_8(\text{Total}) = 0,198 + 0,165 + 0 = 0,363$$

Alternatif A<sub>9</sub>

$$A_9 (C_1) = 1 \times 0,3 = 0,3$$

$$A_9 (C_2) = 0,66 \times 0,5 = 0,33$$

$$A_9 (C_3) = 0 \times 0,2 = 0$$

$$A_9 (\text{Total}) = 0,3 + 0,33 + 0 = 0,63$$

Alternatif A<sub>10</sub>

$$A_{10} (C_1) = 0 \times 0,3 = 0$$

$$A_{10} (C_2) = 0 \times 0,5 = 0$$

$$A_{10} (C_3) = 1 \times 0,2 = 0,2$$

$$A_{10} (\text{Total}) = 0 + 0 + 0,2 = 0,2$$

**Table 10 : Final Value**

No	Alternative	Final Values
1	A1	0,763
2	A2	0,264
3	A3	0,099
4	A4	0,365
5	A5	0,528
6	A6	0,132
7	A7	0,429
8	A8	0,363
9	A9	0,63
10	A10	0,2

**Table 11 : Rangkaing**

Alternative	Result	Rangkaing	
A1	Tebasan	0,763	1
A9	Suka Mulia	0,63	2
A5	Pantai Luas	0,528	3
A7	Pondok Merpati	0,429	4
A4	Ampera	0,365	5
A8	Gohor Lama	0,363	6
A2	Kruni	0,264	7
A10	Tanjung Beringin	0,2	8
A6	Kampung Nangka	0,132	9
A3	Pantai Gemi	0,099	10

Based on the calculation results of the SMART method above, Tebasan (A1) is a new branch location at the Bintang Timur Store in Stabat City which is feasible with a value of 0.763.

## 4. Implementation

### 1. Login Form

**Fig 1 : Login Form**

2. Main Form / Home



Fig 2 : Home Form Display

3. SMART Method Decision Process Page Display

The screenshot displays the "PROSES SMART" section. It contains two tables. The first table, "Kriteria", lists criteria with their codes, weights, and scales. The second table, "Alternatif Lokasi", lists 10 alternative locations with their codes, weights, and scores across various criteria.

Kriteria	Kode	Bobot	Skala	Normalisasi Bobot	Skala Transformasi
1. Lokasi	C2	30	10	0.3000	0.8
2. Luas	C3	20	10	0.2000	0.8
3. Lokasi Perumahan	C4	20	10	0.2000	0.8
<b>Total Bobot</b>		<b>100</b>			

  

No	Lokasi	Kode	Wibayah (C2 = 30)	Jarak (C3 = 20)	Sarana Perumahan (C4 = 20)	Total Nilai Akhir Keputusan	Rank
1.	Jl. Tebasan Dn. I/3 Stabat	A1	3	1	1	0.763	1
2.	Jl. Krueni Dn. IV Stabat	A2	3	1	1	0.40	9
3.	Desa Pantai Gerni. Dn. III Stab	A3	6	6	6	0.80	2
4.	Jl. Ampela Dn. V. Stabat	A4	2	3	4	0.57	4
5.	Desa Pantai Luas Stabat	A5	3	2	2	0.43	8
6.	Kampung Nangka. Dn. II	A6	1	4	3	0.55	5
7.	Desa Pondok Merpati. Secanggang	A7	2	2	2	0.27	10
8.	Desa Gohor Lama Dn. I Stabat	A8	3	3	1	0.53	6
9.	Jl. Suka Mulia Dn. II Secanggan	A9	4	4	2	0.60	3
10.	Jl. Tanjung Beringin Paser 4.5.	A10	4	2	1	0.50	7
<b>Case</b>			<b>Case 1</b>	<b>Case 2</b>	<b>Case 3</b>	<b>Case 4</b>	
<b>Rank</b>			<b>Rank 1</b>	<b>Rank 2</b>	<b>Rank 3</b>	<b>Rank 4</b>	

Fig 3 : SMART Method Decision Process Page Display

4. SMART Method Result Results Page Display

The screenshot shows the "HASIL KEPUTUSAN" section, which is a detailed decision matrix. It lists 10 alternative locations and evaluates them against four criteria: Wibayah (C2=30), Jarak (C3=20), Sarana Perumahan (C4=20), and Total Nilai Akhir Keputusan. Each cell in the matrix contains a qualitative rating (e.g., Sangat Baik, Baik, Cukup, Kurang) and a numerical score. The final column shows the total score and the rank for each location.

Lokasi	Kode	Wibayah (C2 = 30)	Jarak (C3 = 20)	Sarana Perumahan (C4 = 20)	Total Nilai Akhir Keputusan	Rank
Jl. Tebasan Dn. I/3 Stabat	A1	Sangat Baik (3)	Baik (1)	Baik (1)	0.763	1
Desa Pantai Gerni. Dn. III Stab	A3	Sangat Baik (6)	Sangat Baik (6)	Kurang (6)	0.80	2
Jl. Suka Mulia Dn. II Secanggan	A9	Sangat Baik (4)	Cukup (4)	Kurang (2)	0.60	3
Jl. Ampela Dn. V. Stabat	A4	Cukup (2)	Baik (3)	Sangat Baik (4)	0.57	4
Desa Gohor Lama Dn. I Stabat	A8	Baik (3)	Baik (3)	Kurang (1)	0.53	5
Jl. Tanjung Beringin Paser 4.5.	A10	Kurang (1)	Sangat Baik (4)	Sangat Baik (6)	0.50	6
Kampung Nangka. Dn. II	A6	Kurang (1)	Sangat Baik (4)	Baik (3)	0.43	7
Desa Pantai Luas Stabat	A5	Baik (3)	Cukup (2)	Kurang (1)	0.43	8
Jl. Krueni Dn. IV Stabat	A2	Baik (3)	Kurang (1)	Cukup (2)	0.40	9
Desa Pondok Merpati Secanggang	A7	Cukup (2)	Cukup (2)	Kurang (1)	0.27	10

Fig 4 : SMART Method Result Results Page Display

5. SMART Method Decision Report Page Display

The screenshot displays the "LAPORAN KEPUTUSAN" section, which is a summary report of the decision process. It uses the same data as the previous tables but presents it in a more structured format, highlighting the final scores and ranks for each alternative location.

Lokasi	Kode	Wibayah (C2 = 30)	Jarak (C3 = 20)	Sarana Perumahan (C4 = 20)	Total Nilai Akhir Keputusan	Rank
Desa Pantai Gerni. Dn. III Stab	A3	Sangat Baik (6)	Sangat Baik (6)	Kurang (6)	0.80	1
Jl. Tebasan Dn. I/3 Stabat	A1	Sangat Baik (3)	Cukup (2)	Baik (3)	0.763	2
Jl. Suka Mulia Dn. II Secanggan	A9	Sangat Baik (4)	Cukup (4)	Kurang (2)	0.60	3
Jl. Ampela Dn. V. Stabat	A4	Cukup (2)	Baik (3)	Sangat Baik (4)	0.57	4
Desa Gohor Lama Dn. I Stabat	A8	Baik (3)	Baik (3)	Kurang (1)	0.53	5
Jl. Tanjung Beringin Paser 4.5.	A10	Kurang (1)	Sangat Baik (4)	Sangat Baik (6)	0.50	6
Kampung Nangka. Dn. II	A6	Kurang (1)	Sangat Baik (4)	Baik (3)	0.43	7
Desa Pantai Luas Stabat	A5	Baik (3)	Cukup (2)	Kurang (1)	0.43	8
Jl. Krueni Dn. IV Stabat	A2	Baik (3)	Kurang (1)	Cukup (2)	0.40	9
Desa Pondok Merpati Secanggang	A7	Cukup (2)	Cukup (2)	Kurang (1)	0.27	10

Fig 5 : SMART Method Decision Report Page Display

6. Conclusion

After discussing and describing the previous chapters, the author provides several conclusions. The following are the conclusions that the author wrote in this study related to the decision support system to determine the location of the new sales branch at the Bintang Timur store using the SMART (Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique) method, namely:

1. Testing the SMART (Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique) method for the decision support system to determine the location of the sales branch in the store using 10 alternative data as analysis, and succeeded in determining A1 with a total final result of 0.763; it can be stated that A1 is a feasible location from the 10 alternative location data analyzed. System design is done to make it easier for users to use the system.

2. The best selling new branch location at Bintang Timur store is (A1) Jl. Stabat Dsn IB slash with total result; final 0.763
3. The results of testing on a system that has been built using the PHP programming language with a MySQL database have succeeded in determining the location of the branch at the A1 store as the location data that has been selected.

## References

- [1] Buku Toko Bintang Timur (2013).
- [2] Alter Kusriani. Pengertian Sistem Pendukung Keputusan (2007,h.15)
- [3] Candra Herdian, (2018), Sistem Informasi Penjualan dan Pembelian di Toko Besi dan Bangunan Putra Jaya. Ciparai, Kabupaten Bandung.
- [4] Daihani Saleh. Komponen Sistem Pendukung Keputusan (2013)
- [5] Edwards. Proses Pemodelan Metode SMART.
- [6] Keen dan Scoot Morton, (Principles of Management: 1968) Sistem Pendukung Keputusan (Turban dkk, 2005: 137).
- [7] Kadarsah, Manfaat Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Dalam Tulisan Utami (2012).
- [8] Kristanto, Kamus Data (2008, h.72).
- [9] Suguarti. Pengertian UML (2013,h.34).
- [10] Sari. Karakteristik Sistem Pendukung Keputusan (2018,h.3)
- [11] Turban, Tujuan Sistem Pendukung Keputusan (2007).
- [12] Utami Dhuhita. Struktur Data (2017).
- [13] Wahana Komputer, 2010 Panduan Aplikasi Dan Solusi (PAS) Microsoft Visual Basic 2010 Dan MySQL Untuk Aplikasi Point Of Sales, Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- [14] Wahana Komputer, 2010 h.2 Visual Basic, Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- [15] Yatini B. Flowchart (2010,h.13)